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Abstract
Rumble has emerged as a prominent platform hosting controversial figures facing restrictions on YouTube. Despite this, the academic community’s engagement with Rumble has been minimal. To help researchers address this gap, we introduce a comprehensive dataset of about 6.7K podcast videos from August 2020 to December 2022, amounting to over 5.6K hours of content. Besides covering metadata of these podcast videos, we provide speech-to-text transcriptions for future analysis. We also provide speaker diarization information, a collection of 168K unique representative images from podcast videos, and face embeddings of more than 400K extracted faces. With the rise of the influence of podcasts and populist figures, this dataset provides a rich resource to identify challenges in cyber social threats in a relatively underexplored space.

Introduction
We have witnessed the rise of alternative social media platforms that target users dissatisfied with content moderation policies of more established platforms (e.g., Twitter and YouTube). These platforms, e.g., Parler, Gab, and Voat, frequently market themselves as bastions of “free speech” (Zannettou et al. 2018; Goodwin 2021; Robertson 2015), a claim that resonates with a broad audience that values unrestricted expression. However, beneath the surface lies a more complex and concerning reality. These platforms can inadvertently transform into fertile grounds for the proliferation of extremist ideologies and the widespread dissemination of misinformation (Aliapoulos et al. 2021a; Papasavva et al. 2020a). This phenomenon unmasks a big gap between the promise of unrestricted discourse and the potential for harmful echo chambers that these environments can promote.

We have also witnessed the rise of podcasts. The aftermath of the COVID-19 pandemic has seen a surge in podcast popularity (Alesi 2021). In 2021, 82M (25%) of the U.S. population listened to podcasts (Götting 2024). This popularity has also led to an increase in the popularity of podcast videos. By the end of 2023, more than half of the top 30 podcasts are available as videos (Escandon 2024). This rise also comes with increased popularity of controversial podcasters that are often right-wing (e.g., Dan Bongino (Culliford and Dave 2022)), who have faced restrictions from YouTube due to their misleading or hateful content. These restrictions resulted in the migration to another online platform, Rumble 1, that promotes itself as a platform that protects freedom of speech (Gillespie 2024). Rumble is recognized for hosting controversial personalities, including Donald Trump Jr., Andrew Tate, and Alex Jones (Marcus 2023; Farah 2023; McCluskey 2022), and reached an average of 58M monthly active users in 2023 (Rumble 2023).

Contributions. In this work, we compile a large-scale dataset from Rumble. Specifically, we collect 6,735 podcast videos along with their corresponding metadata, spanning 5,612 hours of content. Our contributions extend beyond metadata release; leveraging state-of-the-art models, we extract information across three modalities: 1) text, 2) audio, and 3) video. We detail the methodology for extracting information from podcast videos and release a first-of-its-kind dataset including data from different modalities:

- **Metadata**: Details about podcast videos, e.g., channel name, video name, video description, and more.
- **Text**: Transcription (i.e., speech-to-text) of podcast videos.
- **Audio**: Speaker diarization information providing speaker detection over time for each video.
- **Video**: Sampled representative video frames from each video, totaling 168K images. We also detect more than 400K non-unique faces from these images and release face embeddings.

Due to copyright concerns, we refrain from releasing raw audio and videos from our dataset. However, we will make them available to bona fide researchers upon request. In summary, we release metadata, generated video transcripts, re-
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1Rumble is accessible through: https://rumble.com
resentative images of the video, extracted speaker information, and detected face embeddings for all 6,735 podcast videos.

**Relevance.** Rumble is a video-sharing platform established in 2013 as an alternative to YouTube (McCluskey 2022). This platform hosts a wide variety of podcast content, including political discussions, and is known for hosting controversial personalities that faced restrictions from YouTube, including Donald Trump Jr., Andrew Tate, Fresh & Fit, and Alex Jones (Marcus 2023; Farah 2023; Horowitz; McCluskey 2022). As platform migrations can result in an increased toxicity and radicalization in online networks (Horta Ribeiro et al. 2021; Ali et al. 2021), our dataset can help the research community broaden its focus on the effects of deplatforming events in two underexplored domains on cyber social threats: 1) podcast content, and 2) podcast hosts, which also contain public figures that are known for their hateful speeches (Wilson 2022; Mathes and Kaplan 2023).

The release of this dataset will also provide benefit to a broader audience within the research community. As an emerging platform, researchers can leverage our dataset to understand political polarization in podcast videos, track the evolution of topics on Rumble, and conduct data-driven comparisons with mainstream platforms.

**Related Work**

A variety of datasets have been released to analyze social media platforms. Datasets on Twitter focus on socio-political issues, e.g., US Elections (Chen, Deb, and Ferrara 2022), climate change (Effrosynidis et al. 2022), Russian invasion of Ukraine (Haq et al. 2022; Shvetsov et al. 2022), COVID-19 pandemic (Alqurashi, Alhindi, and Alanazi 2020; Naseem et al. 2021; Hayawi et al. 2022). For Instagram, (Zarei et al. 2021) presented a multilingual COVID-19 dataset that contains 25.7K posts and 829K comments. Additionally, (ALBayari and Abdallah 2022) and (Hamlett et al. 2022) released datasets that aim to help combat cyberbullying, and include human-annotated comments. There have also been several datasets released using Facebook data (Dragan and Zota 2017; Menon 2012; Rieder 2013; Sutia and Williams 2018) and YouTube comments (Chakravarthi et al. 2021; Ashraf et al. 2022). Although not as widely studied as these platforms, (Steel, Parker, and Ruths 2023) published a TikTok dataset on content related to the Russian invasion of Ukraine.

Datasets related to online platforms extend beyond the mainstream ones, covering platforms like BitChute (Trujillo et al. 2022), 4chan (Papasavva et al. 2020b), Gab (Fair and Wesslen 2019), Parler (Alipourios et al. 2021b), Gettr (Paudel et al. 2021), and Voat (Mekacher and Papasavva 2022), which are particularly insightful for understanding extremist or niche online communities.

However, the development of datasets for podcast-related research remains relatively limited. (Lea et al. 2021) presented the SEP-28k dataset, comprising over 28K audio clips to aid research related to stuttering. (Schmidt, Pons, and Miron 2022) contributed the PodcastMix dataset, focusing on the separation of background music from foreground speech in podcasts. Additionally, (Clifton et al. 2020) released the Spotify Podcasts Dataset, a collection of 100,000 audio only podcast episodes that comprise 60K hours of speech, accompanied by ASR (Automatic Speech Recognition) transcripts using Google Cloud’s Speech-to-Text API. (Saha, Nayak, and Baumann 2022) compiled a dataset of Angela Merkel’s podcast videos that spans 16 years. However, to date, there has not been a large-scale podcast dataset of an online platform that includes text, audio, and visual content. Therefore, in this work, we fill this gap by releasing a dataset that helps researchers to focus on exploring video podcast in online settings.

**Data Collection**

In this section, we provide an overview of our dataset and crawling methodology. We also present a summary analysis of the dataset, including a monthly distribution of podcast videos and statistics of the top-20 channels, ranked by video count.

**Crawling Methodology.** We create a specialized crawler to collect video information from the “Podcasts” section on Rumble. This crawler systematically moves through the URLs, scanning the pages in the podcast section until it encounters no new pages. Initially, we deploy our crawler during October 2022 and conduct a subsequent run in early 2023 to cover the entirety of 2022. In early July 2023, we updated the metadata for the video pages in our dataset and limited out dataset to the videos that are available by this date. This method was chosen to ensure that each video’s metadata, e.g., views, upvotes, and dislikes, had at least six months to stabilize and accurately represent their actual statistics. Through this process, we compile a dataset containing 6,761 videos across 246 channels, covering the period from August 27, 2020, to December 31, 2022.

**Language verification for podcasts.** Building on previous work (Clifton et al. 2020), we run language detection on podcast video descriptions to filter out content other than English. We use the lanudetect library (Danilak 2021), a Python implementation of Google’s language detection library. Prior to our analysis, we remove hyperlinks from the descriptions. During our analysis, we observe that some videos are detected as non-English, mainly due to having short video descriptions (e.g., social media platforms and their URLs). Consequently, we conduct a manual inspection of the podcast videos that are detected as non-English and videos with no description, and remove podcast videos of the “Monarky” channel as it produces content other than English. In the end, our dataset consists of 6,735 podcast videos.

**Data Overview.** Table 1 shows Top 20 channels by podcast video counts in our dataset, where we can see the inclusion of notable right-wing content creators (e.g., Dan Bongino, Tim Pool, Charlie Kirk, and Steven Crowder). “The Dan Bongino Show” is the most prolific content creator with a total of 576 videos in our dataset, along with the most followers across all channels in our dataset. This is followed
Table 1: Top 20 channels by their total number of videos in our dataset and follower count for each channel. NB: Follower count may have changed as these numbers are from July 2023.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel Name</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th># Followers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Dan Bongino Show</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>2.79M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TimcastIRL</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>398K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben Shapiro</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>1.02M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timcast</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>381K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Charlie Kirk Show</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>1.22M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven Crowder</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>1.36M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinesh D’Souza</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>1.73M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liz Wheeler</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>48.1K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Trish Regan Show</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>248K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vivafrei</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>358K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Rubin Report</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>466K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Clay Travis &amp; Buck Sexton Show</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>147K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HodgeTwins</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>742K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMERICA First with Sebastian Gorka</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>383K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Knowles</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>73.6K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Pags</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>174K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Jimmy Dore Show</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>202K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Walsh</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>131K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diamond and Silk</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>607K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phetasy</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>44.6K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: “iDRAMA-rumble-2024” dataset release information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input/Output Modality</th>
<th>#Data-points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metadata</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcript Audio/Text</td>
<td>6,735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representative Images</td>
<td>168K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face embeddings</td>
<td>400K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Number of videos posted per month in our dataset.

by “TimcastIRL” and “The Ben Shapiro Show,” which account for 326 and 297 podcast videos, respectively. Figure 1 plots the number of videos per month within our dataset. The data clearly indicates an increase in video counts for the year 2022, with approximately two-thirds of our entire collection originating from this period.

Ethical Considerations

In this work, we do not engage with users directly, collecting only publicly available data. As a result, our institution’s Institutional Review Board (IRB) does not classify our work as human subject research. Public figures, e.g., podcast channel owners on Rumble, are not anonymized and transcriptions may include hate speech.

Data Release & FAIR Principles

Curating this dataset involved using both CPU compute and NVIDIA A100 GPUs with 80GB of memory, in total, taking approximately 11 compute days (284 compute hours) for transcript generation, 22 days (528 hours) for speaker diarization, and about 5 days (125 hours) for face embeddings generation. We release approximately 36GB of curated podcast data from Rumble, accessible on Zenodo and on Huggingface. We follow FAIR (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Re-usable) guidelines for data release, making sure it is discoverable, accessible, and freely available through digital object identifier (DOI) at Zenodo. Additionally, we release code that allows researchers to use our data, which is available on our GitHub.

Data Curation Methodology

In this section, we outline the data curation methodology we used. We then discuss the data structure and address the size and format of the released data, offering guidance to researchers on what to expect during the download.

Our data curation pipeline, depicted in Figure 2, begins with the use of a custom crawler to collect podcast videos from Rumble. Then, we process all collected videos to generate the transcripts and speaker diarization via the audio modality. We extract representative frames from each video, using them for face detection and generating corresponding face embeddings. A comprehensive overview of the dataset is presented in Table 2.

Metadata

Our dataset contains a variety of metadata providing unique perspectives on the content, including video durations, publication dates, engagement metrics (such as comments, followers, views, upvotes, and dislikes), and content descriptors like video descriptions and tags. This metadata can help researchers conduct analyses to better understand the dynamics of podcast video content on Rumble, described below:

- **v_id**: Unique “id” of the video.
- **publication_date**: Publication date of the video.
- **rumble_url**: URL of the video on “rumble.com.”
- **title**: Video title provided by channel.
- **channel_name**: Name of the channel the video belongs to.
- **video_duration**: Length of the podcast video in seconds.
Figure 2: Pipeline of our data curation methodology: 1) Custom crawler collects data from Rumble (6,735 podcast videos), 2) Extracts video metadata, for example, follower counts, comment counts, and more, 3) Using ‘faster-whisper’ generate transcriptions (6,735 transcriptions), 4) Samples representative images of podcast videos using our methodology (168K unique images), 5) Using ‘pyannote-audio’ for speaker diarization (6,735 files), 6) Using ‘Facetorch’ to detect and generate face embeddings from representative images (400K non-unique faces).

Figure 3: Multiple face detection through applied methodology on a sampled representative video frame from one of the podcast videos in our dataset.

- **follower_count**: Total number of subscribers to the channel that uploaded the podcast video at the time of collection.
- **view_count**: Total number of how many times the podcast video has been viewed at the time of collection.
- **upvote_count**: Total number of positive ratings or ‘likes’ received by the podcast video at the time of collection.
- **dislike_count**: Total number of negative ratings or ‘dislikes’ registered for the podcast video at the time of collection.

Figure 4: Speaker detection with temporal information using speaker diarization methodology on a sampled 870-second video. The X-axis represents time in seconds.

- **comment_count**: Total number of comments posted on the podcast video at the time of collection.
- **tags**: Keywords or phrases provided by the channel, specifically selected to encapsulate the main themes, subjects, or topics relevant to the podcast video.
- **video_description**: Official textual synopsis or summary of the podcast video, as provided by the content creator or channel. We observe that Rumble typically formats video descriptions in two segments. The primary segment offers a concise synopsis or summary of the video’s content, while the secondary segment often includes supplementary information, e.g., social media links. Although our dataset encompasses these additional video descriptions (**video_description_more**), the analysis in this paper is conducted based on the information provided in the primary video description segment.
Transcription
For transcribing podcast videos, we use faster-whisper (Klein 2023), a reimplementation of OpenAI’s Whisper through CTranslate2, along with Silero’s Voice Activity Detection (VAD) (Team 2021). This approach proves especially adept at addressing common issues in many videos in our dataset, e.g., extended pauses and background music. In our data curation, we use the “large-v2” model of Whisper to transcribe the audio content of the podcast videos. We set the language to English, enabled VAD, and configure our model to generate word-level timestamps. In our dataset we provide two different structure for transcriptions:

- **transcription**: Raw transcription segments provided by faster-whisper which include all details, e.g., probability of the word predictions.
- **transcription-lite**: Processed segments that include only text and word-level timestamps.

Named Entities
To facilitate in-depth examination of titles, video descriptions, and transcripts, we use the en_core_web_Lg model from SpaCy. This tool is commonly used by the research community (Balcı, Sirivianos, and Blackburn 2023; Filgueira et al. 2020; Papasavva et al. 2020b) for its effectiveness in named entity recognition, having been trained on multiple datasets, including WordNt (Miller 1995) and Common Crawl (Repository 2023), using multi-task CNN and GloVe vectors. In our analysis, we have intentionally excluded commonplace labels, i.e., cardinal, ordinal, and date to concentrate on entities of greater significance, but they remain in the raw dataset. Our dataset provides named entities for titles, video descriptions, and speech-to-text transcriptions.

Representative Images (Sampling Unique Frames)
To sample unique frames per podcast video, we extract images at a rate of one frame per second and apply perceptual hashing (pHash) to each frame. Then, we calculate the similarity between images using Hamming distance. Finally, we select images with a similarity greater than a set threshold ($\theta = 20$) to identify frames with meaningful visual differences. This threshold is selected by three authors of the paper after examining 20 sampled videos for thresholds 5 to 50, incrementing by 5, aiming to maximize visually distinct images while minimizing loss of information. In total, we sampled around 168K unique images to represent podcast videos available in the dataset.

Speaker Diarization
To extract meaningful information from podcast videos, for example, the number of speakers and the temporal aspects at which an individual speaker is speaking in it (if more than one speaker exists), we use the Pyannote-audio library (Plaquet and Bredin 2023; Bredin 2023). Specifically, we use the “pyannote/speaker-diarization@2.1” model\(^2\) to detect speakers and their temporal information through speaker diarization technique.

Face Embeddings
While discussing real-world events or politics in podcasts, podcasters often host personalities, celebrities, or political figures. Detecting faces from podcast videos can be

\(^2\)https://huggingface.co/pyannote/speaker-diarization.
Metadata Analysis

In this section, we characterize the metadata extracted from the podcast videos within our dataset.

Video Durations. Our dataset consists of videos totaling 5,612 hours, which translates to 237 days. We plot the CDF of video duration in minutes in Figure 5a. The average duration of a video is 50 minutes, with a median of 38 minutes and 32 seconds, and a standard deviation of 49 minutes and 12 seconds. It is important to note that we have not excluded any videos based on duration in our selection process, as we rely on self-identified labels of “Podcasts.” This means our dataset also includes videos ranging from 0 to 5 minutes. We believe these shorter videos, though not typical podcasts, are still relevant and could provide valuable insights for future research due to their podcast-related content or labeling.

User Engagement. In this section, we analyze user engagement metrics, including comments, views, upvotes, and dislikes, alongside the follower count for Rumble channels. We plot the CDF of these metrics in Figure 5. In general, our findings corroborate earlier studies (Zarei et al. 2020; Zannettou et al. 2019; Aliapoulos et al. 2021b) on social network metadata and exhibit a characteristic long-tailed distribution.

• # Followers. The follower count per channel, depicted in Figure 5b, has a mean of 152,861.13, a median of 33,800, and a standard deviation of 328,519.57. This wide distribution suggests a diverse range of channel popularity, with some channels having amassed a predominantly larger follower base, potentially due to longer presence on the platform or more engaging content. “The Dan Bongino Show” has the most followers (2.7M), where Donald Trump’s channel (“Donald J. Trump”) is second with 1.9M followers, and Dinesh D’Souza is third with 1.7M followers.

• # Comments. We plot the CDF of comment counts for the podcast videos in Figure 5c. The mean comment count is 240.86, the median is 71, and the standard deviation is 475. This skewed distribution suggests that while a few videos attract a high volume of comments, the majority receive a relatively modest number. This could indicate varying levels of viewer engagement or controversy among different videos. “The Dan Bongino Show” leads the total number of comment counts with 793,598 comments, followed by “Steven Crowder” (205,707), and “HodgeTwins” (47,016).

• # Views. Figure 5d presents the CDF for the total number of views for the podcast videos. The mean view count is 47,709.65, the median is 8,840, and the standard deviation is 165,711.43, suggesting a wide range in viewship among the videos. The large disparity between the mean and median values indicates the presence of some highly popular videos that elevate the average view count, a common phenomenon in content distribution platforms. “The Dan Bongino Show” has the most cumulative views (133.2M), followed by “Steven Crowder” (42.1M), and
Table 3: Top 20 named entities (based on their occurrences) in video titles, descriptions, and speech-to-text transcriptions within our dataset. We also present tags and their number of occurrences. For each video, we only account for unique tags as multiple tags are mistakenly placed by the channel owner. NB: Tags are user-defined and case sensitive.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Tag</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biden</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>PERSON</td>
<td>Biden</td>
<td>692</td>
<td>PERSON</td>
<td>Trump</td>
<td>40,571</td>
<td>PERSON</td>
<td>Podsacks</td>
<td>6,735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Dan Bongino Show</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>ORG</td>
<td>Joe Biden</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>PERSON</td>
<td>Biden</td>
<td>29,417</td>
<td>PERSON</td>
<td>politics</td>
<td>639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trump</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>PERSON</td>
<td>Joe Biden</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>PERSON</td>
<td>America</td>
<td>28,476</td>
<td>GPE</td>
<td>news</td>
<td>557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democrats</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>NORP</td>
<td>Democrats</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>NORP</td>
<td>Democrats</td>
<td>26,315</td>
<td>NORP</td>
<td>Fox News</td>
<td>553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>ORG</td>
<td>Trump</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>PERSON</td>
<td>American</td>
<td>22,848</td>
<td>NORP</td>
<td>trump</td>
<td>527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sebastian Gorka</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>PERSON</td>
<td>America</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>GPE</td>
<td>Joe Biden</td>
<td>19,699</td>
<td>PERSON</td>
<td>Podcast</td>
<td>514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Biden</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>PERSON</td>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>GPE</td>
<td>Republicans</td>
<td>19,017</td>
<td>NORP</td>
<td>donald trump</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>GPE</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>ORG</td>
<td>the United States</td>
<td>17,341</td>
<td>GPE</td>
<td>Conservative</td>
<td>506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elon Musk</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>PERSON</td>
<td>CNN</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>ORG</td>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>16,451</td>
<td>GPE</td>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>GPE</td>
<td>Americans</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>NORP</td>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>16,065</td>
<td>GPE</td>
<td>president trump</td>
<td>489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>GPE</td>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>GPE</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>15,910</td>
<td>GPE</td>
<td>white house</td>
<td>484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putin</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>PERSON</td>
<td>Dave Rubin</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>PERSON</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>15,650</td>
<td>ORG</td>
<td>bongino</td>
<td>483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>GPE</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>GPE</td>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>13,394</td>
<td>NORP</td>
<td>foo news channel</td>
<td>482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOP</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>ORG</td>
<td>Elon Musk</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>PERSON</td>
<td>Donald Trump</td>
<td>13,156</td>
<td>PERSON</td>
<td>opinion</td>
<td>482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>America</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>GPE</td>
<td>Buck Sexton</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>PERSON</td>
<td>Americans</td>
<td>12,543</td>
<td>NORP</td>
<td>Democrats</td>
<td>469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clayton Morris</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>ORG</td>
<td>Republicans</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>NORP</td>
<td>Joe</td>
<td>11,411</td>
<td>PERSON</td>
<td>Liberal</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Dan Bongino</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>PERSON</td>
<td>The Rubin Report</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>WORK OF ART</td>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>11,276</td>
<td>GPE</td>
<td>Republicans</td>
<td>433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Gaetz</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>PERSON</td>
<td>Clay Travis</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>PERSON</td>
<td>Twitter</td>
<td>10,676</td>
<td>ORG</td>
<td>News</td>
<td>433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNN</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>ORG</td>
<td>American</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>NORP</td>
<td>Democrat</td>
<td>10,020</td>
<td>NORP</td>
<td>Trump</td>
<td>358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democrat</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>NORP</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>GPE</td>
<td>Congress</td>
<td>9,223</td>
<td>ORG</td>
<td>Journalism</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“The Post Millennial” (13.6M).

- **# Upvotes.** The upvote distribution, illustrated in Figure 5e, shows a mean of 2,266.67, a median of 594, and a standard deviation of 4,405.57. This indicates a positive skew in user reactions, with a few videos receiving exceptionally high approval but most garnering a moderate number of upvotes. This pattern may reflect the variations in content quality or viewer preferences. Similar to total number of comments, “The Dan Bongino Show” has the most total number of upvotes (6.9M), followed by “Steven Crowder” (2.7M), and “HodgeTwins” (584K).

- **# Dislikes.** Dislikes follow a similar, albeit less pronounced, trend as seen in Figure 5f. The mean dislike count is 130.85, with a median of 17 and a standard deviation of 322.38. This trend can be attributed to specific content triggering negative reactions, but generally, videos tend to have fewer dislikes compared to likes. “The Dan Bongino Show” has the most dislikes (333K), followed by “HodgeTwins” (68K), and “Steven Crowder” (67K).

**Content Descriptors.** Content descriptors can provide insights into the nature and focus of the videos in our dataset. We analyze two key aspects, tags and video descriptions, to understand the thematic trends of the content. We also release the extracted named entities of video titles and descriptions per video.

- **Tags.** Table 3 presents the top 20 most frequently used tags in our dataset. The most frequent tag is “Biden” (346), followed by “The Dan Bongino Show” (639), and Trump (557). Similar to tags, the most frequent named entities are politics and news oriented, with the addition of the channel owners.

- **Video Descriptions.** Table 3 highlights the top 20 named entities used from the video descriptions in our dataset. The frequent occurrence of entities (e.g., “Biden” (694 mentions), “Joe Biden” (467), and “Trump” (393)) corroborates the political and news-centric orientation of the content, mirroring the findings from the tag and title analyses.

**Text, Audio, and Video Analysis**

In this section, we perform descriptive analysis of information extracted from text, audio and video modalities through the methodology discussed earlier.

**Transcription Analysis**

We first look at the CDF of word count per video in Figure 7. The average word count per video is 8,408.62, with a median of 6,934 and a standard deviation of 7,650.14. The figure reflects the diversity in length of verbal content across the podcast videos within our dataset.

Table 3 presents the top 20 named entities identified in the transcripts. Similar to our previous findings, the top named entities mentioned in the video transcripts primarily revolve around political figures and organizations. “Trump” is the...
most frequent named entity with over 40K mentions, followed by “Biden” with 29K, and “America” with 28K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel Name</th>
<th># Faces</th>
<th># Speakers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Dan Bongino Show</td>
<td>23,832</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TimcastIRL</td>
<td>6,978</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben Shapiro</td>
<td>13,974</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timcast</td>
<td>5,169</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Charlie Kirk Show</td>
<td>15,193</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven Crowder</td>
<td>33,824</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinesh D’Souza</td>
<td>12,595</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liz Wheeler</td>
<td>3,484</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Trish Regan Show</td>
<td>995</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vivafoi</td>
<td>7,712</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Rubin Report</td>
<td>20,140</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Clay Travis &amp; Buck Sexton Show</td>
<td>1,658</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HodgeTwins</td>
<td>6,113</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMERICA First with Sebastian Gorka</td>
<td>22,127</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Knowles</td>
<td>9,228</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Pags</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Jimmy Dore Show</td>
<td>2,671</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Walsh</td>
<td>7,710</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diamond and Silk</td>
<td>8,051</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phetasy</td>
<td>3,402</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Top 20 channels (by their video count) with the number of extracted speakers and the number of detected faces. NB: The number of faces is aggregated across all podcast videos per channel and is non-unique. The number of speakers are shown without applying any filtering or removal of false positives.

Speaker Analysis

We process all podcast videos to detect speakers (i.e., speaker diarization), excluding videos longer than 3 hours in duration. In total, we extract speakers information from 6,714 videos (out of 6,735). Figure 8 plots the CDF for the number of speakers detected in each podcast video. Across the dataset, videos feature a minimum of 1 and a maximum of 128 speakers, with an average count of 7 speakers (median: 4). Table 4 displays the median count of total speakers for the top 20 channels, with “AMERICA First with Sebastian Gorka” having the highest speakers (per median statistics) per video, while “The Trish Regan Show” consistently features only one speaker per video.

Face Detection Analysis

One use-case of representative images is to detect the appearances of celebrities or political figures. Using around 168K representative images from all podcast videos, we extract 400,791 non-unique faces. Table 4 presents an aggregated view of non-unique faces for the top 20 channels, sorted by their video count. As we see in Table 4, the channels “Steven Crowder,” “The Dan Bongino Show,” “AMERICA First with Sebastian Gorka,” and “The Rubin Report” feature the highest counts of non-unique faces.

Conclusion

This paper presents a comprehensive dataset of 6,735 podcast videos from Rumble, totaling 5,612 hours. Our dataset extends beyond the metadata of these podcast videos to include multiple layers of data across text, audio, and video modalities. These include speech-to-text transcriptions, speaker diarizations, and face embeddings created with state-of-the-art machine learning models. We also present an analysis that provides insights into the data we provide. In our analysis, we reveal that the content of these podcast videos predominantly revolves around politics and news. Our dataset presents a unique resource for researchers aiming to explore the depths of podcast content and its implications on broader socio-political research. We believe that the content of this dataset will help improve research on cyber social threats from a unique perspective, where researchers can analyze the implications of controversial figures using the content created by themselves. Given that our dataset includes numerous hosts who have been deplatformed, often associated with right-wing ideologies or the Manosphere, we anticipate it will serve as a fruitful resource in understanding cyber social threats.

Limitations

Our dataset is subject to certain limitations. First, it is important to acknowledge that this data was not collected in real-
time, which may result in missing certain podcast videos if they were published and deleted before our crawler could collect them. The identification of videos as podcasts is based solely on labels provided by content creators, without further verification on our part. Additionally, the precision of our speech-to-text transcriptions, face embeddings, speaker diarization, and named entity recognition is limited by the performance of the models, e.g., Whisper is known for hallucinating content (Mittal et al. 2024). Researchers are encouraged to undertake additional postprocessing steps regarding the specific objectives of their research.
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